

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginsa 22313-1450 www.msplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/253,048	02/19/1999	YASUHITO INAGAKI	9792909-4094	5170
26263 SNR DENTON	7590 04/04/201 N US LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 061	080		MARKOFF, ALEXANDER	
CHICAGO, IL	. 60606-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/04/2011	PAPER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/253,048	INAGAKI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alexander Markoff	1711	

J	LAUITINICI	ALC OTHE	
	Alexander Markoff	1711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 21 March 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appl for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
<ul> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is</li> </ul>	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or ( MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(		FIRST REPLY WAS FI	LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of a variety of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	iled within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I</li> </ol>			cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		E below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet		A colored and a local 100 steps of 41	!
(c) I hey are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially rec	aucing or simplifying ti	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		otou olamio.	
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> </ol>	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).
<ol><li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li></ol>			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving.</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).     Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Alexander Markoff/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1711	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicants proposed to amend the claims. The proposed amendment removes some of the previously presented limitations and introduces the limitations, which were not previously presented. The proposed amendment removes the recitation of non-specified ionic groups from the claims. The proposed amendment removes the recitation of the specific hydrophilic groups from the claims. The proposed amendment requires introducing some compounds into the polymer. The proposed amendment raises new issues, which would require further consideration and search. The proposed amendment also raises issues of 35 USC 112(2) and (1) at least because at least some of thee recited compounds do not exist.

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicants rely on the proposed amendment, which would not be entered.